

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,256	1	1/10/2003	Ivano Vagnoli	141483.00004-P1244US00	3201
25207	7590	06/15/2006		EXAM	INER
POWELL G			P WATKINS I		II, WILLIAM P
	CLANTIC CENTER EENTH FLOOR 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET NW ART UNIT			PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 3030	09-3488		1772	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/705,256	VAGNOLI, IVANO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William P. Watkins III	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 h	March 2006.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-12</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been received	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
application from the International Burea		1.0001100 III tillo Hattoriai Otage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	received.
	. 	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of References Clied (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No((s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/705,256 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03 March 2006 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liardet (U.S. 4,864,790) in view of Hirsch (U.S. 4,849,145) and Zegler et al. (U.S. 5,567,497) further in view of Most (U.S. 2,161,549).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,256

Art Unit: 1772

Liardet teaches a floor tile or roll with a leather surface and a backing layer joined by adhesive (abstract, col. 9, lines 30-20). Zegler et al. teaches joining a top surface covering that has a layer which will fuse with thermoplastic to a thermoplastic base which has channels (abstract). Hirsch teaches joining thermoplastic to a leather layer by injection of the thermoplastic into openings in the leather layer and around edges of the leather layer (abstract, Figure 5, col. 1, lines 45-60). Most teaches the flow of plastic into holes in the interior of an outer layer in order to better bind the plastic to the outer layer and provide decorative effects (col. 2, lines 25-55).

The instant invention claims a leather floor tile with a thermoplastic backing that has resin that extends through holes in the leather layer that are located away from the perimeter of the leather layer. It would have been obvious to join a thermoplastic as the base layer of Liardet to prevent slipping because of the teachings of Zegler et al. (U.S. 5,567,497). It further would have been obvious to have joined the leather layer and bottom resin layer by injecting resin into holes of the leather layer instead of using adhesive because of the teachings of Hirsch. It still further would have been obvious to locate

Art Unit: 1772

the joining holes away from the perimeter of the upper leather layer in order to promote good joining and different decorative effects.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 03 March 2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view new grounds of rejection.

Most has been added to better address applicant's amended claim language regarding the resin filled being in the interior of the leather layer.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,256

Art Unit: 1772

WW/ww June 10, 2006

William P. Westering XI

Page 5

GILLIAM P. WATKINS II. PRIMARY EXAMINER